



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,914	01/29/2004	Setsu Komiyama	040031	2644
23850	7590 08/10/2005		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			GRIER, LAURA A	
1725 K STREI SUITE 1000	ET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20006			
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/765,914	KOMIYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Laura A. Grier	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replet find period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 March 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	·					
4)	•					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/765,914

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) in view of Oinoue et al., U. S. Patent 20020031231.

Regarding claims 1 and 3, AAPA discloses a mixing comprising an equalizer (3), a fader (4), and pan circuit (6). However, AAPA fails to specifically disclose a distance filter.

Regarding a distance filter, Oinoue et al. (herein, Oinoue) discloses an acoustic apparatus comprising a variable attenuator coupled to a LPF, wherein the variable attenuator attenuates the input audio signal, which reads on attenuating an audio signal in an entire audio-frequency band; and the LPF (low pass filter) reads on extracting a high-audio frequency component from the input audio signal level, in a correlated manner – figure 1, references 22/26, and 23/27 – (paragraph 0031-0032 and 0036-0037), and the coupling of the variable attenuator and the LPF reads a distance filter.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of AAPA by implementing a variable attenuator coupled to a LPF for the purpose of enabling a listener to perceive or recognize a sound at a

particular location than where the loudspeaker may actually be located to enjoy the acoustic effects of surround or virtual sound.

Regarding claims 2 and 4, AAPA and Oinoue disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). AAPA further discloses the variable attenuator coupled to each other (cascaded) with the variable attenuator attenuating to a predetermined level, which is operable for change, and a LPF in which the cutoff frequency may be from 2 to 6 kHz, which reads on a the distance filter, therein (figure 1); and further the amount of attenuation by the variable attenuator and the cutoff-frequency is lower when the amount of attenuation is larger, wherein the right channel signal has a gain higher for the high frequency band than that of the low frequency band, which results to a increase in attenuation (paragraph 0034).

Regarding claim 5, AAPA and Oinoue disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). Oinoue discloses in figure 1 a variable attenuator and a low pass filter.

Regarding claim 6, AAPA and Oinoue disclose everything claimed as applied above (see claim 5). Oinoue discloses in figure 6 (portion 34) a low pass filter to include a resistor and a capacitor.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 3/14/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant provides specific concepts and arguments of the invention: on page 6, the applicant made reference to "... a sense of distance from a sound source ...". In respect to the claim language of the invention the specifics about the purpose or label of the "distance" filter

Art Unit: 2644

has not been claimed, and is interrupted (based on the broadest interpretation of the claim language) as being a filter, with no relevance to the given label, "distance". On page 7, the applicant argues that reference of Oinoue teaches an attenuator, and a LPF coupled to a signal generator and a differential amplifier. The Oinoue was provide to provide teachings of a means having a variable attenuator and a LPF functioning accordingly, therein for the purpose of indicating the filter concept claimed was well known. And, for the components to be operably coupled and/or connected and cascaded, is as well provides for a broad interpretation of components connected depending upon the others' function or performance to provide a specific function as desired.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2644

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A. Grier whose telephone number is (571) 272-7518. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2644